

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA
CHARLESTON DIVISION**

**IN RE: AQUEOUS FILM-FORMING
FOAMS PRODUCTS LIABILITY
LITIGATION**

MDL No. 2:18-mn-2873-RMG

**DEFENDANT 3M COMPANY'S
GENERAL DENIAL AND
PRELIMINARY STATEMENT OF
AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES**

**This filing relates to
ALL CASES**

Defendant 3M Company ("3M"), by undersigned counsel, hereby responds to the Complaints filed by all Plaintiffs and pending in the MDL ("Plaintiffs") as follows:

GENERAL DENIAL

Pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 8(b)(3), 3M denies generally and specifically each and every allegation set forth in Plaintiffs' Complaints, and the whole thereof, and each and every alleged cause of action therein, and 3M demands strict proof of same by a preponderance of the evidence and/or by clear and convincing evidence as required by law. 3M further denies that Plaintiffs have sufficiently alleged grounds upon which any relief could be granted. 3M further denies that Plaintiffs have sustained damages in any sums alleged, or any sums at all, or are entitled to relief of any type, by reason of any act, breach, or omission on the part of 3M or anyone acting on its behalf. 3M reserves its rights to assert cross-claims and/or third-party claims.

AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES

3M asserts the following affirmative defenses in response to the averments in each of Plaintiffs' Complaints filed in the above-captioned actions:

1. The Complaint, and each cause of action or count alleged therein, fails to state facts sufficient to constitute a claim upon which relief may be granted against 3M.

2. The court in which the action was filed, or which Plaintiffs have designated as the “Home Venue,” lacks personal jurisdiction over 3M, and the Complaint should therefore be dismissed under Rule 12(b)(2) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

3. Plaintiffs’ claims are barred or limited for lack of standing.

4. The Complaint, and each alleged claim contained therein, is barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of limitations.

5. The Complaint, and each alleged claim contained therein, is barred, in whole or in part, by the applicable statutes of repose.

6. The Complaint, and each cause of action or count alleged therein, fails to join necessary parties.

7. The Complaint, and each alleged claim contained therein, is barred, in whole or in part, because 3M is entitled to immunity from suit under the government contractor defense. *See Boyle v. United Technologies Corp.*, 487 U.S. 500 (1988).

8. The Complaint, and each alleged claim contained therein, is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of laches.

9. The Complaint, and each alleged claim contained therein, is barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs are not the real parties in interest or lack capacity to bring their claims, including claims indirectly maintained on behalf of their citizens and/or customers and claims brought as *parens patriae*.

10. Plaintiffs’ claims are not ripe and/or have been mooted.

11. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, to the extent they have failed to exhaust administrative remedies.

12. Plaintiffs may be barred by the doctrine of unclean hands from all forms of relief sought in the Complaint.

13. Plaintiffs may be barred by the doctrines of estoppel and/or waiver from all forms of relief sought in the Complaint.

14. Plaintiffs may be barred by the doctrines of res judicata and collateral estoppel from all forms of relief sought in the Complaint.

15. Plaintiffs' claims are barred in whole or in part under the bulk supplier, component part supplier, sophisticated-purchaser, sophisticated-user, sophisticated intermediary, and/or knowledgeable-user doctrines or other similar or related doctrines available under applicable law.

16. Any injuries and/or damages sustained by Plaintiffs may have been caused or contributed to by the negligence or actual conduct of Plaintiffs and/or other persons, firms, corporations, or entities over whom 3M had no control or right of control and for whom 3M is not responsible.

17. Any injuries and/or damages sustained by Plaintiffs are barred by the doctrines of intervening cause and/or superseding cause.

18. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that 3M's products were unforeseeably misused or altered.

19. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of election of remedies.

20. Plaintiffs' claims are subject to all defenses that could be asserted if Plaintiffs' claims were properly made by individuals on whose behalf or for whose alleged damages Plaintiffs seek to recover.

21. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, under applicable common law or statutory doctrines, including but not limited to avoidable consequences, voluntary exposure, assumption of risk, and open and obvious risk.

22. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, because 3M used proper methods in designing, testing, and manufacturing their products in conformity with (i) federal and state regulations, standards, specifications, and laws in effect; (ii) available knowledge and research of the scientific and industrial communities; (iii) generally recognized and prevailing industry standards; and (iv) state of the art in existence at the time the design was prepared and the products were manufactured and tested.

23. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, because any alleged levels of contamination did not exceed any applicable laws or binding regulatory standards at the relevant times.

24. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, because federal, state, and/or local authorities authorized, ratified, or were aware of and acquiesced in actions by 3M that are the subject of Plaintiffs' claims. 3M is not responsible or liable for any acts or omissions undertaken by or at the direction of any governmental authority or agency.

25. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrine of primary jurisdiction.

26. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, under the doctrine of Federal Preemption, including without limitation express preemption, implied conflict preemption,

and field preemption, pursuant to any applicable statutes, regulations, guidance documents, notices, military specification, and policy statements, enacted and/or promulgated and/or issued by Congress, federal agencies, or the executive branch, including, without limitation, to the extent Plaintiffs' claims constitute an impermissible challenge to a response or remediation action under CERCLA, 42 U.S.C. § 9613(h).

27. Plaintiffs' claims are or may be barred, in whole or in part, to the extent that any warranties were disclaimed and/or are limited by applicable provisions of the UCC.

28. Plaintiffs' warranty claims, if any, are or may be barred, in whole or in part, because Plaintiffs did not provide 3M reasonable notice of any alleged breach.

29. Plaintiffs' warranty claims, if any, are or may be barred, in whole or in part, by the voluntary payment doctrine and/or the partial payment doctrine.

30. Plaintiffs' damages, if any, were caused by the active, direct, and proximate negligence or actual conduct of entities or persons other than 3M, and in the event that 3M is found to be liable to Plaintiffs, 3M will be entitled to indemnification, contribution, and/or apportionment.

31. 3M asserts its right to allocation or apportionment of fault pursuant to applicable state law, as well as its right to a proportionate reduction of any damages found against 3M based on the negligence or other conduct of any settling tortfeasor and/or responsible third party and/or Plaintiffs.

32. Plaintiffs' claims against 3M are barred or limited by the economic loss rule.

33. Plaintiffs may have failed or refused to exercise reasonable care and diligence to avoid loss and minimize damages and, therefore, may not recover for losses that could have been prevented by reasonable efforts on their part, or by expenditures which might reasonably have

been made. Recovery, if any, should therefore be reduced by Plaintiffs' failure to mitigate damages, if any.

34. Plaintiffs' Complaint is barred, in whole or in part, by the doctrines of acquiescence, accord and satisfaction, ratification, settlement, or release.

35. 3M asserts all applicable defenses under Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 8(c) and 12(b), as investigation and discovery proceeds.

36. 3M adopts by reference any additional applicable defense pleaded by any other Defendants not otherwise pleaded herein.

37. 3M adopts by reference any additional applicable defense asserted by Defendants prior to transfer in any case transferred to this MDL.

3M does not admit or acknowledge that it bears the burden of proof and/or burden of persuasion with respect to any of the above defenses. All of the preceding defenses are pled in the alternative and none constitutes an admission that 3M is liable to Plaintiffs, that Plaintiffs have been or will be injured or damaged in any way, or that Plaintiffs are entitled to any relief whatsoever. 3M reserves its rights to (i) rely on any and all defenses and presumptions set forth in or arising from any rule of law or statute of any state whose substantive law might control the relevant action, (ii) rely upon any other defenses set forth in any Answer or disclosure of affirmative defenses of any Defendant in the above-captioned action (including, without limitation, any case transferred to the above-captioned action), (iii) rely upon any other defenses that may become apparent during fact or expert discovery in this matter, and (iv) to amend this document to assert any such defenses.

DATED: July 16, 2019

Respectfully submitted,

By: /s/ Brian C. Duffy
Brian C. Duffy (Fed. Bar No. 9491)
J. Rutledge Young Jr. (Fed Bar No. 4432)
Julie Moore (Fed Bar No. 11138)
DUFFY & YOUNG, LLC
96 Broad Street
Charleston, S.C. 29401
(843) 720-2044 Telephone
(843) 720-2047 Fax
bduffy@duffyandyoung.com
jry@duffyandyoung.com
jmoore@duffyandyoung.com

Michael A. Olsen
Daniel L. Ring
Richard F. Bulger
MAYER BROWN LLP
71 South Wacker Drive
Chicago, Illinois 60606
Tel: (312) 782-0600
Fax: (312) 701-7711
molsen@mayerbrown.com
dring@mayerbrown.com
rbulger@mayerbrown.com

Counsel for 3M Company